



## Bid Questions and Answers Report

Date & Time: 10/25/2017 12:58:20 PM

District Address: District 2 Construction Office, located at 1109 South Marion Avenue, Lake City, FL 32025

District Phone: (386) 961-7532

Proposal: T2669

Project: 435444-1-52-01

Letting Date: 10/25/2017

Location: CENTRAL OFFICE

Description: SR 15 (US 17)

Question:	19305: Temporary Work Structure to be provided by Contractor: Ref. Pay Item No.103-1-17 Temp Work Structure, Sect 103 Temp Work Structures, of the Standard Specs, Erosion Control Plans, Bridge Plan&Elevation Sh B1-1 thru B1-3, and Dept of Army Permit No. SAJ-2014-01830(SP-RLT), the Contract Plans call for a temporary work structure in the bridge plans with no specified limits, with no temp structure type specified, and with no other specific requirements. While the Dept of Army permit does not specifically address temporary work structures, it does appear that the language of Special Condition No. 7, Temporary Wetland Impacts, would permit the construction of a surface based "temporary work platform " consisting of embankment & subject to the stated conditions of restoration upon completion of the work. Will a temporary work structure utilizing surface placed embankment be permitted under Sect 103 and that no Dept of Army permit revisions will be required to be obtained by the Contractor?	Posted:	8/7/2017 11:19:34 AM
Answer:	Yes, embankment can be used as long as the requirements of the Dept. of Army Permit No. SAJ-2014-01830(SP-RLT) Special Conditions No 7 are followed. Also, Plan Scrape Down Area (Sheets 41-42) needs to be constructed prior to any embankment filling for a temporary work structure to compensate for the flood plain area as put forth in the permits.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/14/2017 1:08:28 PM
Question:	19335: Please provide the geotech reports for the project	Posted:	8/9/2017 10:07:27 AM
Answer:	The requested information is available from CPP Online Ordering, for information only.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/17/2017 8:05:11 AM
Question:	19368: Following the answer to question No. 19305, under which bid item is incidental the cost to scrape down area shown in plan sheets No. 41-42?	Posted:	8/16/2017 3:45:10 PM

Answer:	Scrape Down Area is included in Regular Excavation Bid Item.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/18/2017 3:21:57 PM
Question:	19372: Is the embankment volume that is required to replace the subsoil excavation areas, 47,355 cy, included in the embankment pay item quantity of 219,733 cy?	Posted:	8/17/2017 9:25:29 AM
Answer:	The embankment volume to replace the subsoil excavation is included in embankment pay item quantity.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/18/2017 6:26:33 PM
Question:	19437: Ref. sheet B1-61 from "Structures" chapter, there is a new Gas line (already installed as per the utilities schedule) that interferes with the installation of the piles for the fender system. Are there any special precautions to be taken for driving the piles to make sure that the Gas pipe line is not damaged?	Posted:	8/23/2017 2:08:27 PM
Answer:	The relocated gas main will be a minimum 50' below the fender piling tip elevation.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/25/2017 8:23:25 AM
Question:	19438: Ref. sheet 237 from "Roadway" chapter, the soil improvement by column supported embankment is located under the approach embankment of the new bridge, as well under the approach embankment of the existing bridge. It is assumed that the work should be done in 2 stages. Are there any mandatory boundaries, special conditions or limitations for performing this work? For the part intersecting the existing bridge, are there any special considerations for the removal of the asphalt and embankment prior to column grouting?	Posted:	8/23/2017 2:11:29 PM
Answer:	Refer to plan sheet 96 (Limits of Column Supported Embankment (CSE)) for the limits of the treatment area. Refer to the FDOT Specifications for the Technical Special Provision for Soil Stabilization/Improvement by Column Supported Embankment for the CSE requirements.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/24/2017 7:05:14 AM
Question:	19482: The utility adjustment plans include a new 6" HDPE gas line. From review of the utility work schedule that was provided, the utility schedule indicates that relocation activities would occur prior to construction, and that removal or abandonment of existing gas main that was placed out of service would also be completed prior to construction. Please confirm that all the Gas line adjustment or relocation work has been completed, or provide a date by which this will be completed prior to construction. Thank you.	Posted:	8/29/2017 10:39:03 AM

Answer:	The City of Crescent City gas main relocation work is scheduled to be completed prior to the Notice to Proceed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	8/30/2017 7:38:56 AM
Question:	19528: Please provide asphalt cores for the existing roadway.	Posted:	9/6/2017 9:45:41 AM
Answer:	The requested information is available from CPP Online Ordering, for information only.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/14/2017 1:55:00 PM
Question:	19535: Since on September 4th Florida Gov. Rick Scott has declared a State of Emergency in the state because of the Hurricane Irma threat, please consider to delay the Bid by at least the period covered under the State of Emergency.	Posted:	9/6/2017 10:46:17 AM
Answer:	Letting date for this proposal has been moved to 10/25/17.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2017 2:59:38 PM
Answer:	There are no plans to change the Let Date for this project.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/13/2017 3:34:01 PM
Question:	19567: For the soil improvement, what is the driving criteria for the requirement of 1/4" differential settlement over 100-feet per the TSP? That is a very stringent requirement and not typical.	Posted:	9/14/2017 2:54:28 PM
Answer:	Meet the Performance Criterial of Technical Special Provision T173-5.7. The Contractor has the option of FDOT Specification 4-3.9.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2017 6:24:00 AM
Question:	19578: Please provide the PD&E and the Bridge Development Report for the Bridge.	Posted:	9/15/2017 4:44:03 PM
Answer:	The requested reports will be provided to the winning bidder, upon request, after contract execution.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2017 11:43:16 AM

Question:	19580: For the traffic control detours, under which pay item is temporary sod paid for?	Posted:	9/18/2017 9:48:37 AM
Answer:	Include in Pay Item 102-1.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2017 11:42:43 AM
Question:	19581: Is burning allowed for the vegetative debris generated by the clearing and grubbing operation?	Posted:	9/18/2017 9:51:44 AM
Answer:	See Addendum 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/9/2017 9:44:37 AM
Answer:	The Contractor is responsible for complying with FDOT Specifications 7-1 and 7-2.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/19/2017 11:44:34 AM
Answer:	An Addendum will be distributed to clarify burning debris on this project.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/27/2017 11:38:01 AM
Question:	19582: Please refer to sheet 96 and sheets 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, Sta. 129+50 to 133+10. What is the top elevation of the CSE Columns?	Posted:	9/18/2017 10:01:44 AM
Answer:	This is a Contractor responsibility. See Technical Special Provision T173.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/19/2017 11:26:50 AM
Question:	19584: Which firm was awarded the CEI contract for this project?  Some CEIs are generally better to work with than others and it makes a difference in our price based on who will be managing the project.	Posted:	9/18/2017 10:14:56 AM
Answer:	The contract administration team includes England - Thims & Miller, Inc.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/12/2017 8:41:09 AM

Answer:	This project will be managed by a Consultant CEI team.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/18/2017 10:30:24 AM
Question:	19588: As a follow up to question 19584, the departments professional services webpage indicates that England, Thims & Miller, Inc. is the selected consultant that will provide construction and engineering services for this contract. <a href="http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/procurement/ProfessionalServices/advertise/advall.shtml">http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/procurement/ProfessionalServices/advertise/advall.shtml</a> Can the department confirm this firm will provide those services for this contract?	Posted:	9/18/2017 1:52:49 PM
Answer:	The contract administration team includes England - Thims & Miller, Inc.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/12/2017 8:41:27 AM
Answer:	No contract for CCEI Services has been executed.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/18/2017 3:31:50 PM
Question:	19589: For Item 110-4-10, Removal of Existing Concrete. Is there a CADD file showing the area ID as detailed on sheet SQ-13?	Posted:	9/18/2017 3:20:52 PM
Answer:	The requested information is in CADD files QTDSRD01.dgn and QTDSRD02.dgn - located in the project Roadway Folder available from CPP Online Ordering.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/20/2017 8:10:31 AM
Question:	19593: Does the job require any Class V coating? If yes, please list the items.	Posted:	9/19/2017 1:15:04 PM
Answer:	Class V coating is not required on the structures.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/26/2017 1:31:19 PM
Question:	19601: Can subsoil excavation be buried in the bottom of the ponds and then capped with a 2' layer of suitable mat'l? This practice has been allowed before in D2.	Posted:	9/20/2017 8:02:57 AM

Answer:	It is the preference not to use subsoil excavation at the bottom of the pond on this project.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/29/2017 9:46:42 AM
Question:	19603: Will the Contractor be allowed to clear and grub outside of the clearing and grubbing limits shown on the plans to facilitate subsoil excavation?	Posted:	9/20/2017 12:00:00 PM
Answer:	At no additional cost to the Department, Clearing and Grubbing outside the limits of construction may be allowed with the Engineer's prior approval.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 10:19:47 AM
Question:	19604: Will the Contractor be compensated for subsoil excavation outside of the vertical limits shown on the cross sections?	Posted:	9/20/2017 12:00:27 PM
Answer:	See FDOT Specifications Sections 9-1.3 and 120-2.3.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 4:41:05 PM
Answer:	Subsoil Excavation is not a plan quantity. Refer to FDOT Specification Section 9-1.3 for determination of Pay Areas.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 8:55:09 AM
Question:	19605: Confirm that Pay Item 0710 90 Painted Pavement Markings, Final Surface will include two coats of paint application.	Posted:	9/20/2017 1:07:57 PM
Answer:	Painted Pavement Markings, Final Surface applications to be per FDOT Specification Section 710-4.1.1	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 8:41:55 AM
Question:	19606: Does the stainless steel fender hardware fall under the Buy American Requirement or can it be provided from foreign suppliers?	Posted:	9/20/2017 1:37:33 PM
Answer:	See FDOT Specification 6-5.2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 3:37:53 PM

Question:	19607: Following a site visit, we have seen that hurricane Irma seriously impacted also the area around the Project. Will there be a payment for the additional hardship caused by the hurricane?	Posted:	9/20/2017 2:18:43 PM
Answer:	Any damage from Hurricane Irma will be removed prior to construction. There will be no need for additional payment.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/29/2017 9:41:08 AM
Question:	19610: As a follow up to question 19581, will the Engineer permit, i.e. allow, the burning of vegetative debris generated by the clearing and grubbing operations? See Specification 110-9.2.	Posted:	9/20/2017 3:25:56 PM
Answer:	See Addendum 2.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/9/2017 9:42:51 AM
Answer:	An Addendum will be distributed to clarify burning debris on this project.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/27/2017 11:37:18 AM
Question:	19611: As a follow up to questions 19584 and 19588, the department's professional services webpage indicates that England, Thims & Miller, Inc. is the selected consultant that will provide construction and engineering services for this contract. <a href="http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/procurement/ProfessionalServices/advertise/advall.shtml">http://www2.dot.state.fl.us/procurement/ProfessionalServices/advertise/advall.shtml</a> Can the department confirm this firm will provide those services for this contract? According to that webpage, the first contract negotiation meeting was scheduled to occur between this firm and the department on 08/02/2017. Did that scheduled negotiation meeting occur?	Posted:	9/20/2017 3:29:42 PM
Answer:	The contract administration team includes England - Thims & Miller, Inc.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/12/2017 8:41:44 AM
Answer:	Consultant negotiations are underway.	Status:	ANSWER VOIDED
		Posted:	9/26/2017 2:52:39 PM
Question:	19612: Is it the intention of the department to alter the clearing limits of this project as outlined on SQ-13 prior to bid?	Posted:	9/20/2017 4:54:09 PM

Answer:	No.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/26/2017 2:53:27 PM
Question:	19614: Due to impacts and time lost because of Hurricane Irma would the DOT please consider a 2 week extension to the bid date?	Posted:	9/21/2017 8:35:01 AM
Answer:	Letting date for this proposal has been moved to 10/25/17.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2017 10:34:11 AM
Question:	19620: Since the Letting date for this proposal has been moved to 10/25/17 (see response to question 19614), should the response to question 19535 be revised?	Posted:	9/21/2017 2:47:29 PM
Answer:	The answer to Question 19614 has been revised.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/21/2017 3:00:34 PM
Question:	19622: Please include the type of concrete to be removed on summary sheet SQ-13 per the bases of estimates.  "Each type of concrete to be removed (sidewalk, curb, pavement, retaining wall, barrier wall, etc.) will need to be identified on the summary box."	Posted:	9/22/2017 8:48:44 AM
Answer:	No changes will be made based on this request. See FDOT Specification 2-4 Examination of Contract Documents and Site of Work.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	9/25/2017 11:35:43 AM
Question:	19731: Sheet SQ-16, Optnl Base Group 01 includes Dunns Creek Rd. @ 5,179.5sy in the quantity column. Plan sht 12 Typical Section No. 4 appears to be drawn showing Dunns Creek Rd. having OBG-10 Base; and there's no callout that Dunns Creek Rd. is to have OBG-01 base. Pls confirm that Dunns Creek Rd. is to have OBG-01 base.	Posted:	10/9/2017 2:04:35 PM
Answer:	Dunns Creek Road Pavement Design is shown on Plan Sheet 16 Typical Section No. 8.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/10/2017 8:47:24 AM



Question:	19808: Clearing is currently being done along the northwest side of SR-15(from station ~115 to ~129 at the time it was seen) west of the Dunns Creek Bridge. This is apparently for new/reloc Utility lines. All, or much, of this work appears to be w/in the required clear/grub limits for this contract. What specifically is the clearing area boundary for their work, what is the acreage that they will clear, is grubbing included in their work, and are we still responsible for the clearing/grubbing of their area of work?	Posted:	10/12/2017 7:56:59 AM
Answer:	Sketches are available on CPP Online Ordering, for your information. The area directly above the new gas main would be cleared and grubbed, depicted by pink line on the sketches. The hashed and pink line areas that are not over the new gas main was only going to be mulched to a maximum depth of six inches and would need to be grubbed by the roadway contractor. No acreage or measurements are available.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/17/2017 4:03:49 PM
Question:	19820: 1. The Phase 1 Traffic Control plans only show temporary pavement adjacent to the right side of the existing roadway from approximately station 163+20 to Station 213+00. In the event the existing roadway is not wide enough to accommodate 2 Each 12' Travel Lanes and a 5' Temporary Path as shown in the Phase 1 Typical Section, will the contractor be required to construct temporary pavement even though it is not shown? (example: the existing pavement from Station 105+00 to 130+00 appears to be too narrow to accommodate the dimensions shown in the Phase 1 Typical Section, will Temporary Pavement be required?)	Posted:	10/12/2017 1:08:27 PM
Answer:	During Phase I, if the exiting paved shoulder is not being impacted by the traffic lane, the exiting paved shoulder can be used for the temporary path and no additional pavement will be required. Where the traffic lane is shifted into or beyond the existing paved shoulder, temporary asphalt will need to be provided as needed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/17/2017 10:43:39 AM
Question:	19821: 2. The Phase 1 Traffic Control Plan sheets show Low Profile Barrier and Temporary Barrier Wall along the left side of the existing roadway. FDOT Index 412 and 414 allows the use of a 2" thick Miscellaneous Asphalt Pad in areas where there is no existing pavement. The note on the Left Side of the Phase 1 Typical Section on plan sheet 198 calls for Temporary Pavement per the Detail at the Bottom Right side of sheet 198. The detail shows 2.5" of Temp Asphalt and OBG 4. Will the contractor be allowed to use 2" of Miscellaneous Asphalt or will the additional requirements shown in the referenced detail be enforced?	Posted:	10/12/2017 1:12:39 PM
Answer:	Asphalt Pad for low profile barrier wall and temporary barrier wall (no traffic) can be per index 412 & 414.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/17/2017 2:30:20 PM

Question:	19825: Plan shts 108 thru 112 show considerable subsoil removal under the new Shared Path on the right. This work, which is to be done in Ph 1, per shts 200 & 201, is immediately adjacent to the existing unprotected SR-15 pavt. No temp barrier wall is shown to be placed on the adjacent pavt next to this work. Request that addnl TCP plans be provided and that a Temp Sheet Pile bid item be included for use when the existing pavt needs to be temp supported, due to the const. Phasing, when immed. adjacent to the required, and in many cases deep(see also sht. 113, 32' rt.), Subsoil excavations & backfill.	Posted:	10/13/2017 10:35:44 AM
Answer:	In Phase I, the path is located within the work zone for the Contractor to build a temporary, unyielding surface there. The permanent path with subsoil excavation is built in Phase 2B. Temporary sheet pile wall will not be needed.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/18/2017 10:31:05 AM
Question:	19826: Please refer to SQ-20, bid item 400-0-11 Gravity Wall.  My takeoff exposed an under run in quantity. Please look at the first four lines and compare the stationing to the length of wall in the design notes provided on the same page.  Please amend the quantity.	Posted:	10/13/2017 10:38:33 AM
Answer:	See Plan Sheet 35. The gravity wall quantities on this sheet were verified.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/18/2017 10:36:08 AM
Question:	19832: Refer to standard specification 548-2.6.1, Backfill Material.  Is the contractor required to backfill with coarse aggregate in areas where it applies as per this specification section from the bottom of the wall to 1' above the design high water elevation?	Posted:	10/13/2017 2:35:37 PM
Answer:	Yes.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/20/2017 6:47:43 AM
Question:	19850: In trying to determine the quantity of Ty K Temp Barrier Wall staking that will be required it's unclear what the intended designed deflection distance is from the TCP plans. No staking is required when there is a min. 2' deflection distance behind the Ty K TBW when posted speed is 45mph or less; it's 45mph for this project. Are all the locations for the Ty K Temp Barr Wall on this project designed to have a 2' deflection behind the Ty K TBW? If the design is for, or includes, less than 2', then are the station ranges having this <2' deflection?	Posted:	10/17/2017 2:04:57 PM

Answer:	The design accounts for the 2 feet of deflection distance. Stakes will be required at other locations per Index 414.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/19/2017 1:57:14 PM
Question:	19851: Phase IIA note #4, on Temp. Traf. Control Plan sheet #198, calls to construct a Temporary Crossover. The Ph IIA Plan sht #214 appears to show a Temp Crossover at ~sta. 174+00. If temp pavement is to be constructed at this location, please provide a revised plan sht #214 with a "legend" marking the temp pavt construction limits for this temp cross-over pavement.	Posted:	10/17/2017 2:14:41 PM
Answer:	In Phase IIA, the temporary pavement shown on sheets 213-216 was placed in Phase I. On sheet 217, there is new temporary pavement shown which must be placed from Sta 212 to just past Sta 220 and Sta 224 to Sta 227+65.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/19/2017 1:45:43 PM
Question:	19855: The provided Utility Work Schedule for FPL shows the FDOT Permit as a predecessor for the relocation activities. Do you have a release date for this permit?	Posted:	10/17/2017 5:02:02 PM
Answer:	The permit was approved/issued on 10/10/17.	Status:	ANSWER PUBLISHED
		Posted:	10/20/2017 9:15:29 AM